



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/740,201	12/18/2000	Cian E. O'Meara	673-1019 4327		
23644 75	90 08/17/2006		EXAMINER		
BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP			BOYCE, ANDRE D		
P.O. BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0.1101100, 12	2,00		3623		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/740,201	O'MEARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Andre Boyce	3623		

Delote the filling of all Appeal Bile!	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Andre Boyce	3623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff titce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0021160				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ol>							
13.	0	Michelle 7. Michelle T. Patent Exa,	arae)				
	C	. Michelle T.	arae				
		Patent Exa,	miner				
S. Patent and Trademark Office  OL-303 (Rev. 7-05)  Advisory Action Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Art Unit 34 Part of Par	25 per No. 20060811				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no listing in Powell which includes both scheduled and unscheduled locations. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and submits that Powell discloses pooled queue 20 of pooled work orders associated with geographic location, listed according to priority of the work order (¶ 0044), wherein inserting pooled work orders 36 into the workforce member schedule, create a revised schedule or route 40 (¶ 0055). Moreover, Powell discloses sorting all pooled work orders by priority and geographic location, thus permitting probable schedules to be quickly evaluated (¶ 0073). As a result, these probable schedules (i.e., probable revised schedules), including both pooled work orders and previously scheduled orders, is indeed a listing including both scheduled and not yet scheduled (i.e., unscheduled) locations.

In addition, Applicant argues that nothing in Kocur discloses that both scheduled and unschuled locations are examined and ranked in order to determine improvements. The Examiner respectfully disagees. Kocur discloses after the intitial routes have been assigned, a route improvement process is examined, wherein the swap of work-projects between two workers is considered if it is feasible, thus yielding an improvement (column 15, lines 64-67 and column 16, lines 1-3). As such, the swapping of projects between workers indeed includes scheduled and unscheduled locations, since a worker could receive a work-project previously assigned to another worker. Therefore, contrary to Applicant's assertion, Kocur indeed discloses both scheduled and unscheduled locations examined and ranked in order to determine improvements